may have come into the text by dittography from the preceding  $-\eta$ , the Committee thought it more likely that, because of an apparent lack of appropriateness of the article in the context, it was deleted by several copyists.

## 7.34 καὶ μεμέρισται. καὶ ή γυνὴ ἡ ἄγαμος καὶ ἡ παρθένος {D}

After considering the multiplicity of variant readings and the uncertainties of interpretation, the Committee decided that the least unsatisfactory reading is that supported by early representatives of the Alexandrian and the Western types of text ( $\mathfrak{P}^{15}$  B 104 vg cop<sup>sa, bo</sup>). The absence of the first  $\kappa\alpha i$  in some witnesses ( $\mathbb{D}^c$  F G K L  $\mathbb{\Psi}$  614 Byz) is to be accounted for either as a palaeographical oversight (after  $\gamma \nu \nu \alpha i \mathrm{KI}$ ) or (in the case at least of  $\mathbb{D}^c$ ) as a deliberate excision in order to avoid construing  $\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$  and  $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \zeta$  with a singular verb ( $\mu \epsilon \rho i \mu \nu \dot{\alpha}$ ). Its presence is strongly supported by the combination of  $\mathfrak{P}^{15,46}$   $\mathfrak{R}$  A B  $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{gr}}$  P 33 81 1739 al. The difficulty of distinguishing  $\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \mu o \zeta$  [the unmarried woman or widow] from  $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \zeta$  may have led copyists to shift the adjective from  $\gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$  to  $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \zeta$  ( $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{gr}}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}^c$  G K  $\mathfrak{\Psi}$  syrpension). The reading of  $\mathfrak{P}^{46}$   $\mathfrak{R}$  A 33 81 al, which have  $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \mu o \zeta$  after both  $\gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$  and  $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \zeta$ , has the appearance of a typical scribal conflation.

## **7.40** θεοῦ {A}

The reading  $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau o\hat{v}$  in two manuscripts ( $\mathfrak{P}^{15}$  33) arose through faulty transcription.

## 8.3 τὸν θεόν ... ὑπ' αὐτοῦ {Α}

The absence of  $\tau \dot{o} \nu \theta \epsilon \dot{o} \nu$  from  $\mathfrak{P}^{46}$  Clement was regarded by the Committee to be the result of formal assimilation to ver. 2. The phrase  $\dot{\nu}\pi'\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{\nu}$  is absent from several witnesses, as though  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega$ - $\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$  were active voice. It was to be expected that  $\mathfrak{P}^{46}$  Clement should omit the words since their antecedent  $(\tau\dot{o}\nu\theta\epsilon\dot{o}\nu)$  is lacking in these witnesses. Their absence also from  $\aleph^*$  and 33 was regarded

1 Corinthians 7:34 34.a.Var: p15,p46,018 02A,03B,06D-org,025P 33,sa.bo.Alf,Tisc,We/Ho Weis,Sod,UBS/*	1129.3 noun dat sing fem YUVCIKÍ, gunaiki wife.	34. [a☆+	2504.1 conj · KOÙ ] kai [ and ]	indic μεμέ me	6 verb 3sing c perf pass έ <b>Qισται.</b> <i>meristai</i> en divided	2504.1 conj [b☆+ καὶ] kai [the]
34.b.Var: p15,p46,018 02A,03B,06D-corr,018K 020L,025P,sa.bo.Alf Tisc,We/Ho,Weis,Sod UBS/*	3450.9 art nom sing fem $\mathring{\eta}$ he the	1129.1 noun nom sing fem YUVM gune wife	nom [°☆+	50.9 art sing fem ἡ he the	22.1 noun nom sing ἄγαμος agamos unmarried	2504.1 conj Kal kaj and
34.c.Var: p15,p46,01% 02A,03B,025P,sa.bo. Lach,Treg,We/Ho,Weis Sod,UBS/*	3450.9 art nom sing fem $\hat{\eta}$ he the	3795.1 noun nom sing masc παρθένος parthenos virgin.	3450.9 nom sing (d h he The	fem	22.1 noun nom sing ἄγαμος γ agamos unmarried	3179.3 verb 3sing indic pres act μεοιμνῷ merimna cares for
34.d.Txt: p46,018,02A 06D,018K,020L,byz. Tisc,Sod Var: p15,03B,025P,sa. bo.Treg,We/Ho,Weis UBS/*	3450.17 art pl neu  Tà ta the things	3450.2 art gen sing  TOŨ  tou  of the	2935.2 noun gen sing masc KUQĹOU, kuriou Lord,	2419.1 conj ľVO. hina that	1498.10 verb 3sing subj pres act   The she may be	
34.e.Var: 018,02A,03B 025P,33,Lach,Treg,Alf Tisc,We/Ho,Weis,Sod UBS/* 34.f.Var: 018,02A,03B	2504.1 conj Kai	3450.3 art dat sing	4835.3 noun dat sing neu  σώματι somati	2504.1 conj KAÌ kai	3450.3 art dat sing  [f☆+ τῷ]  tō	4011.3 noun dat sing neu πνεύματι pneumati
025P,33,Lach,Treg,Alf Tisc,We/Ho,Weis,Sod UBS/*	3450.9 art nom sing fem $\hat{\eta}$ he the	$\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ $\gamma \alpha$ de $g$	in body 3.13 verb nom em part aor act μήσασα amesasa ng married	and  3179.3 ve indic pr  µEQU  merit  cares	res act art pl ne $\mu \nu \tilde{q}$ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ mna ta	τοῦ tou
34.g.Txt: 018K,020L 025P,byz. Var: 018,02A,03B,06D 33,Lach,Treg,Alf,Tisc We/Ho,Weis,Sod UBS/*	2862.2 noun gen sing masc Κόσμου, kosmou world,	4316.1 πῶς ρōs how	adv indic fut act πῶς ἀξεσει pos gresel		694.7 verb 3sing subj aor act  [	3450.3 dat sing $\tau \tilde{\phi}$ to the
	433.3 noun dat sing masc ἀνδοί. andri husband.	3642.17 dem pron sing net 35. τοῦτο touto This	i conj δὲ π	4242.1 prep τρός pros for	3450.16 art sing neu	i 2pl pron gen p i) V αὐτῶν ion auton
35.a.Txt: 01%-corr 06D-corr,018K,020L 025P,byz. Var: 01%-org,02A,03B 06D-org,33,Lach,Treg Alf,Tisc,We/Ho,Weis Sod,UBS/*	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
	5050.3 prs- pron dat 2pl ὑμῖν humin you	1896.5 verb 1s subj aor act ἐπιβάλω epibalō I may cast be	ο, ἀλλ alle	nj pi λὰ πο a pi	42.1 3450.16 art sing neu 2 ος το	2139.4 ad acc sing neu εὕσχημο euschemo seemly.
35.b.Txt: 018K,byz. Var: 01%,02A,03B,06D 025P,Gries,Lach,Treg Alf,Word,Tisc,We/Ho Weis,Sod,UBS/*	2504.1 conj KAÙ kai and	2125.1 adj acc sing neu εὖπϱόσεδοι euprosedron waiting		2117.1 ad acc sing ὖπάφεδ eupared [ idem ]	ορον] τῷ	g dat sing mass KVQ(Q kurio